

1 Proxel-Based Simulation: Theory and Applications

2 Claudia Krull¹, Graham Horton²

3 Abstract

4 Discrete stochastic models are widely used to describe current engineer-
5 ing and logistics problems. The stochastic simulation of such models can get
6 very expensive if the models are stiff or rare system events are of interest.
7 Proxels are a state space-based simulation technique that does not have these
8 drawbacks. They implicitly use a discrete-time Markov chain to determin-
9 istically discover all possible system states at discrete points in time. Some
10 applications have shown that Proxels are especially suitable for the analysis
11 of small stiff models, and can outperform stochastic simulation techniques
12 in that area.

13 1 Introduction

14 Discrete stochastic models can be used to describe some current problems in the
15 industry. Their analysis is often performed using discrete event-based simulation
16 (DES). Unfortunately, DES can get very expensive. When stiff models and rare
17 events are involved, many replications are required to gain statistically meaningful
18 results. The performance of DES is dependent on the degree of stiffness of the
19 model or rareness of the event of interest. Existing methods for rare event simula-
20 tion try to relieve that by modifying either the model or the problem specification.
21 However, these methods can be very complex and are usually problem depen-
22 dent in their application. Proxel-based simulation is a recently developed state
23 space-based simulation approach, which is based on discrete-time Markov chains
24 (DTMC). It is a deterministic algorithm and does not suffer a significant perfor-
25 mance decrease when rare events are involved. Proxels are especially suitable for
26 the simulation of small stiff models, discovering all possible system developments
27 in one run and assigning them probabilities. In contrast to partial or ordinary
28 differential equations, Proxels are more intuitive to use and not inherently limited
29 to specific model classes. Using a generic implementation, Proxels can in principle
30 be applied to any discrete stochastic model, instead of stochastic simulation tech-
31 niques. The paper describes the basic idea of Proxels, two successful applications
32 and some current extensions.

¹Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, E-mail: claudia@sim-md.de

²Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, E-mail: graham@sim-md.de

33 **2 State of the Art**

34 **2.1 Stochastic Simulation of Rare Event Models**

35 The stochastic simulation of models involving rare events can become unfeasibly
36 expensive. Many replications are needed to discover the rare events and even more
37 to obtain statistically significant results for them. In general, the cost of a DES
38 is dependent on the number of state changes performed per simulation run and
39 on the number of simulation runs necessary. This implies that the cost increases
40 with increasing degree of rareness of the event. Rare event simulation methods
41 try to relieve this problem. Importance sampling modifies the model definition
42 by changing transitions specifications to make the event of interest more frequent.
43 Importance splitting defines intermediate thresholds that have to be crossed before
44 reaching the rare event. Development paths are split at these thresholds in order to
45 increase the number of times a rare event is encountered within the simulation runs.
46 Both methods require a subsequent rescaling of the results to make them applicable
47 to the original model. However, both methods are mathematically complex and
48 usually require problem knowledge to be applied properly. Their performance still
49 suffers somewhat when the degree of rareness of the event increases.

50 **2.2 Discrete-Time Markov Chains**

51 Discrete-time Markov chains (DTMC) are a well researched area of mathematical
52 modeling (see [1] for a thorough introduction). They can represent the state space
53 of a model including the state transitions defined by one step transition probabili-
54 ties. If one can build a DTMC representing a models states and behavior, then the
55 solution of that DTMC is comparably easy using existing algorithms. However,
56 the state transitions in a DTMC are memoryless, they can only directly represent
57 discretized exponential or geometric distributions. Continuous non-Markovian dis-
58 tributions, such as Normal, Weibull or Lognormal, cannot be represented directly
59 in a Markov chain. A direct DTMC representation of a real system involving time
60 dependent behavior is often not detailed enough to draw conclusions about the
61 systems dynamics. The easy solution of a Markov chain comes at the expense of
62 loosing details of the time dependent system behavior. This limits the applica-
63 bility of DTMC solutions to problems were the exact dynamic system behavior is
64 not of much importance.

65 **3 Proxel Background and Theory**

66 One approach applying the advantages of Markov chains to the analysis of non-
67 Markovian models are supplementary variables, which extend a system state by
68 logging the age of that state. [2] This leads to partial differential equations (PDE)
69 as system description that can then be solved. Extending this idea, it is possible
70 to make any process memoryless by logging the ages of all currently activated or
71 relevant transitions. Doing this at discrete points in time enables to use an al-
72 gorithmic approach, rather than setting up and solving PDEs analytically. Using

73 supplementary variables to make all non-Markovian processes of a model memo-
 74 ryless is the basic idea of the Proxel-based simulation method [3, 6].

75 A Proxel as defined in Equation (1) is a point S in the extended state space
 76 of the model – the discrete system state dS extended by the age of the relevant
 77 transitions $\vec{\tau}$ for a specific point in the simulation time t –, with the probability
 78 of that state p . Proxels are only generated at discrete points in time, which are
 79 multiples of the simulation time step. The probability to perform any active state
 80 change within one of these time steps can be determined by the so-called
 81 instantaneous rate function (IRF), which is defined as in Equation (2).

$$P = (S, p) = ((dS, \vec{\tau}, t), p) \quad (1)$$

$$\mu(\tau) = \frac{f(\tau)}{1 - F(\tau)} \quad (2)$$

82 The following is a sketch of the Proxel-based simulation approach based on
 83 these ideas. `start` represents the initial system state, and `dt` the discrete simula-
 84 tion time step.

```

85 1 Create initial Proxel with initial system state
86   at start of simulation time  $((start,0,0),1)$ 
87 2 For each activated transition r of each Proxel at time t
88 3   Create Proxel for t+dt with the probability
89   of state change r within dt, reset transition age r
90 4   Create Proxel for t+dt for the case of no state change
91   with leftover probability, increase transition ages by dt
92 5   Store newly created Proxels in data structure
93 6 Repeat 2-5 until end of simulation time
  
```

94 The algorithm implicitly builds a DTMC of the reachable model state space.
 95 By extending the discrete system states by the transition activation times, all
 96 processes are made memoryless. This enables to determine a transient solution of
 97 the discrete stochastic model algorithmically.

98 The performance of the exact implementation largely depends on the data
 99 structure chosen for Proxel storage. In general, the Proxel approach is much more
 100 flexible than the original supplementary variables, because it does not require to
 101 setup and solve differential equations. In contrast to DES, the cost of the method
 102 is not influenced by the stiffness of the model. The algorithm deterministically
 103 discovers all possible states at discrete points in time. The smaller the simulation
 104 time step is, the more accurate these probabilities are. On the other hand, the
 105 simulation time step also determines the cost of the simulation. This enables
 106 a trade-off between accuracy and computation cost. Some further features and
 107 problems of Proxel-based simulation will be discussed in Section 5.

108 4 Two Example Applications of Proxels

109 This section describes two example applications of Proxel-based simulation to small
 110 stiff models. These nicely demonstrate the properties of Proxel-based simulation

111 and exemplify the area where Proxels can outperform existing simulation methods.

112 **4.1 Analysis of a Vehicle Warranty Model**

113 The problem described here comes from an industry project carried out for the
114 DaimlerChrysler AG (DC). [7] The task was to determine costs of different war-
115 ranty strategies for the following scenario: The expiration of a car warranty is
116 based on a maximum mileage and a maximum time (e.g. 10000 miles vs. 1 year).
117 Failures within the warranty period incur costs for the manufacturer. The failure
118 has a much smaller rate than the warranty expiration, however, the occurrence of
119 a failure generates considerable cost. Therefore we are dealing with a stiff model.

120 The DES employed by DC needed a runtime of 20 to 30 hours to compute a cost
121 estimate to the accuracy of one cent for one parameter set (years, mileage, cost per
122 failure), using given failure and time to mileage distributions. The special-purpose
123 Proxel-based algorithm developed for this case used mileage as the basic time unit
124 and one mile as discrete simulation time step. The failure probability within the
125 warranty period multiplied by the cost of a failure directly yielded the desired
126 warranty cost. This approach was already quite fast, needing only few minutes
127 to obtain a comparable result. In a second attempt, rough estimates obtained for
128 larger simulation time steps were used to extrapolate a more accurate solution.
129 This was possible because of a linear convergence of the solution parameter with
130 decreasing simulation time step. This decreased the computation time to mere
131 seconds for one parameter set. This application enabled DC to gain faster and
132 more precise predictions for the warranty costs in only a fraction of the original
133 time, eventually enabling a faster decision between warranty strategies.

134 **4.2 Proxel-Based Queuing Simulation**

135 Queuing analysis is an old subject in modeling and simulation. [1] It has recently
136 become of interest again, since many problems in electronic communication can be
137 described using queuing models. The goal of classical queuing analysis is to find an
138 analytical expressions for the performance measures of a class of queuing systems.
139 However, this is not always possible, depending on the system specification. As
140 an alternative, DES can be employed, even though it is a lot less accurate and
141 more expensive. Proxels can be a good alternative to DES, when no analytical
142 solution is available. They are especially suitable for queuing simulation, because
143 the discrete state space of a queuing model is usually small and the number of
144 processes is limited to arrival and service of customers. Furthermore, queuing
145 models can be very stiff, or rare system states are of interest, such as the overflow
146 of a buffer in a switch and the resulting packet loss.

147 An example queuing system of a small call center is of type M/G/c/K. The
148 exact problem specification is $Exp(1.25)/N(1; 0.2)/2/17$ with a Markovian arrival
149 process, a normally distributed service process, two call-center agents as servers
150 and a holding queue capacity of 15, system capacity of 17. The rare event of
151 interest is the filling up of the queue and the resulting possibility to loose incoming
152 calls. The Proxel solution needed only seconds to produce a meaningful result for

153 the queue overflow probability. In contrast, a discrete event-based simulation of
154 the system needed 15 minutes of computation time. The event of the queue filling
155 up did not happen often enough, resulting in an inappropriate confidence interval
156 for the measure. The problem was by far too stiff for standard DES. See [5] for
157 more details and examples.

158 For queuing simulation in general Proxels can be used to obtain exact results
159 for analytically not tractable systems. They can also provide answers for systems
160 that cannot be tackled using DES. Furthermore, Proxels can help obtain rough
161 estimates for not yet formally analyzed problems.

162 5 Special Issues

163 This section discusses special issues and problems of the Proxel-based simulation
164 method, as well as extensions that were already performed to reduce these prob-
165 lems. One major drawback of Proxel simulation and state space-based methods in
166 general is the drastic increase in the number of system states due to the extension
167 with supplementary variables. This so-called state space explosion limits the ap-
168 plicability of the methods to models with a small discrete state space. The effects
169 of this state space explosion can be dampened somewhat by intelligent storage and
170 retrieval strategies for Proxels. Two more fundamental strategies to tackle that
171 problem have been implemented so far and will be described here briefly.

172 The first problem leading to state space explosion is that every continuous
173 distribution is split into as many separate time steps as the support of the dis-
174 tribution needs, also covering very smooth parts with too many sampling points.
175 Each one of those sampling points leads to a different age value and consequently
176 to a separate Proxel that needs to be stored and processed. One solution to this
177 is the combination with discrete phase-type distributions (DPH). [4] These can
178 represent smooth distribution functions with much less sampling points, leading
179 to a drastic reduction in the size of the expanded state space. This increases the
180 size of the models that can be feasibly analyzed using Proxels. The combination of
181 Proxels and DPH is possible because both are ways to represent a non-Markovian
182 distribution with a segment of a DTMC.

183 The second problem leading to state space explosion is related to stiff models,
184 because using the original algorithm, the fastest model transition determines the
185 size of the time step that is used to discretize all distributions. If the model is stiff,
186 this time step needs to be very small, and is inefficient for much slower transitions.
187 The use of so-called variable time steps can help relieve that problem. [8] Here,
188 every transition can be performed using a time step of optimal size. This strategy
189 can reduce the computation cost for stiff models significantly, again enabling the
190 analysis of larger models using Proxels.

191 The cost of a Proxel-based simulation algorithm increases with an increasing
192 discrete state space and increasing number of concurrently activated transitions.
193 It also increases with decreasing simulation time step size, leading to the above
194 mentioned state space explosion, but on the other hand it also enables a trade-off
195 between accuracy and cost of a computation. An extrapolation of the simulation

196 results that were computed using larger time steps can be used to obtain more
197 accurate results while reducing computation time. Summing up, current exten-
198 sions and special purpose implementations of Proxels make the simulation method
199 applicable to a significant group of real world problems.

200 6 Conclusion

201 Proxel-based simulation is a state space-based method well suitable for the analy-
202 sis of small stiff models or models containing rare events. Two specific applications
203 were described, demonstrating that. In contrast to DES and current methods for
204 Rare event simulation, Proxels can deterministically discover all possible system
205 states in one run and assign probabilities to them. The state space explosion inher-
206 ent to this class of approaches limits the applicability to small models. However, it
207 can be dampened somewhat through the use of discrete phase-type distributions
208 or variable time steps. Proxels can be used to obtain accurate results in a limited
209 computation time for some problems, where DES cannot be feasibly applied.

210 References

- 211 [1] Bolch G., Greiner S., de Meer H., Trivedi K. S. (1998) *Queuing Networks and*
212 *Markov Chains*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 213 [2] German R., Lindemann C. (1994) Analysis of stochastic petri nets by the
214 method of supplementary variables. In *Proceedings of Performance Evalua-*
215 *tion*, 20:317-335.
- 216 [3] Horton G. (2002) A new paradigm for the numerical simulation of stochastic
217 petri nets with general firing times. In *Proceedings of the European Simulation*
218 *Symposium 2002*, pp.129-136. SCS European Publishing House.
- 219 [4] Krull C. (2008) *Discrete-Time Markov Chains: Advanced Applications in*
220 *Simulation*. PhD thesis, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg.
- 221 [5] Krull C., Horton G. (2007) Application of proxels to queuing simulation. In
222 *Simulation and Visualization 2007*, Magdeburg, Germany, pp.299-310.
- 223 [6] Lazarova-Molnar S. (2005) *The Proxel-Based Method: Formalisation, Analy-*
224 *sis and Applications*. PhD thesis, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg.
- 225 [7] Lazarova-Molnar S., Horton G. (2004) Proxel-based simulation of a warranty
226 model. In *European Simulation Multiconference 2004*, pp.221-224. SCS Euro-
227 pean Publishing House.
- 228 [8] Wickborn F., Horton G. (2005) Feasible state space simulation: Variable time
229 steps for the proxel method. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Balkan conference in*
230 *informatics*, Ohrid, Macedonia, pp.446-453.